# Title Index for Volume 24, 2005


Beyond Accountability and Average Mathematics Scores: Relating State Education Policy Attributes to Cognitive Achievement Domains, *Desimone, Smith, Hayes, & Frisvold, No. 4, p. 5*

Clarification for the ITEMS module, Setting Performance Standards: Contemporary Methods (Vol. 23, No. 4), *No. 2, p. 43*

Code of Fair Testing Practices (Revised), *Working Group of the Joint Committee on Testing Practices, No. 1, p. 23*

Commentary: Accountability Policy and Scholarly Research, *Hess, No. 4, p. 53*

The Effects of State Decisions About NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Targets, *Porter, Linn, & Trimble, No. 4, p. 32*

Grade Level Invariance of a Theoretical Causal Structure Predicting Reading Comprehension with Vocabulary and Oral Reading Fluency, *Yovanoff, Duesbery, Alonzo, & Tindal, No. 3, p. 4*

Have State Accountability and High-Stakes Tests Influenced Student Progression Rates in High School? *Carnoy, No. 4, p. 19*

Making Valid and Consistent Inferences About School Effectiveness From Multiple Measures, *Chester, No. 4, p. 40*

Measurement 101: Some Fundamentals Revisited: 2005 NCME Presidential Address, *Frisbie, No. 3, p. 21*

An NCME Instructional Module on Developing and Administering Practice Analysis Questionnaires, *Raymond, No. 2, p. 43*

Three Options Are Optimal for Multiple-Choice Items: A Meta-Analysis of 80 Years of Research, *Rodriguez, No. 2, p. 3*

The Quality of Local District Assessments Used in Nebraska's School-Based Teacher-Led Assessment and Reporting System (STARS), *Brookhart, No. 2, p. 14*

Resistance to Confounding Style and Content in Scoring Constructed-Response Items, *Schafer, Gagné, & Lissitz, No. 2, p. 23*

Social Studies Education in the Age of Testing and Accountability, *Burroughs, Groce, & Webeck, No. 3, p. 13*

Use of Knowledge, Skill, and Ability Statements in Developing Licensure and Certification Examinations, *Wang, Schnipke, & Witt, No. 1, p. 3*

Using Dimensionality-Based DIF Analyses to Identify and Interpret Constructs That Elicit Group Differences, *Gierl, No. 1, p. 3*